



Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 8803-8820

TETRAHEDRON

# Synthesis of novel simplified sarcodictyin/eleutherobin analogs with potent microtubule-stabilizing activity, using ring closing metathesis as the key-step

Raphael Beumer,<sup>a,†</sup> Pau Bayón,<sup>a</sup> Piergiuliano Bugada,<sup>a</sup> Sylvie Ducki,<sup>b,‡</sup> Nicola Mongelli,<sup>b</sup> Federico Riccardi Sirtori,<sup>b</sup> Joachim Telser<sup>a,§</sup> and Cesare Gennari<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Industriale, Centro di Eccellenza C.I.S.I., Istituto CNR di Scienze e Tecnologie Molecolari, Universita' di Milano, via Venezian 21, I-20133 Milan, Italy <sup>b</sup>Pharmacia, viale Pasteur 10, I-20014 Nerviano, Italy

Received 28 June 2003; revised 5 August 2003; accepted 29 August 2003

**Abstract**—The synthesis of a number of novel simplified eleutheside analogs with potent tubulin-assembling and microtubule-stabilizing properties is described, using ring closing metathesis as the key-step for obtaining the 6–10 fused bicyclic ring system. The RCM precursors were synthesized starting from aldehyde **3** [prepared in 6 steps on a multigram scale from R-(–)-carvone in 30% overall yield] via multiple stereoselective Brown allylations. Second generation RCM catalyst **13** gave the desired ring closed 10-membered carbocycles as single *Z* stereoisomers in good yields. The RCM stereochemical course (100% *Z*) likely reflects thermodynamic control. The crucial role of the protecting groups of the homoallylic and allylic substituents for the efficiency of the RCM reactions is discussed. These simplified analogs of the natural product (lacking inter alia the C-4/C-7 ether bridge) retain potent microtubule-stabilizing activity. However, the cytotoxicity tests did not parallel the potent tubulin-assembling and microtubule-stabilizing properties: limited cytotoxicity was observed against three common tumor cell lines (human ovarian carcinoma and human colon carcinoma cell lines, IC<sub>50</sub> in the  $\mu$ M range given in Table 2), three orders of magnitude less than paclitaxel (IC<sub>50</sub> in the nM range).

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Sarcodictyins A (**1a**) and B (**1b**) (Fig. 1) were isolated in 1987 by Pietra et al. from the Mediterranean stoloniferan coral *Sarcodictyon roseum*,<sup>1</sup> while their antitumor activity was recognized about a decade later, and their paclitaxel-like mechanism of action uncovered (1996).<sup>2</sup> In the meantime, the diterpene glycoside eleutherobia (**2**) was reported by Fenical et al. from an *Eleutherobia* species of australian soft coral, accompanied by disclosure of its potent cytotoxicity (1995).<sup>3</sup> Two years later, in 1997, it was shown that eleutherobin, similarly to sarcodictyins, acted by mitotic arrest through induced tubulin polymerization.<sup>4</sup> Both sarcodictyins and eleutherobin (the 'eleutheside' family of microtubule-stabilizing drugs) are characterized by an activity profile different from that of paclitaxel; in particular, they are active against paclitaxel resistant tumor

cell lines and therefore hold potential as second generation microtubule-stabilizing anticancer agents.<sup>4,5</sup> The scarce availability of 1-2 from natural sources makes their total syntheses vital for further biological investigations.<sup>5</sup> To date, sarcodictyins A and B have been synthesized successfully by Nicolaou et al.,<sup>6</sup> who have also exploited a similar route for accessing eleutherobin.<sup>7</sup> A subsequent report by Danishefsky and co-workers details an elegant alternative access to eleutherobin.<sup>8</sup> A number of partial syntheses and approaches have also been described.<sup>9</sup>

The total syntheses of the eleuthesides have generated very



Figure 1. Marine diterpenoids sarcodictyin A (1a), B (1b) and eleutherobin (2).

Keywords: allylation; antitumor compounds; metathesis; stereocontrol.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39-0250314091; fax: +39-0250314072; e-mail: cesare.gennari@unimi.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Present address: Roche Vitamins Ltd, VFK R&D Actives, CH-4070 Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Present address: Centre for Molecular Drug Design, Kidscan Laboratories, University of Salford, Salford M5 4WT, UK.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Present address: Medicinal Chemistry PH-R EU CR MC6, Bayer AG, Pharma Research, D-42096 Wuppertal, Germany.

R. Beumer et al. / Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 8803-8820



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) AllMgBr,  ${}^{1}$ Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe, Et<sub>2</sub>O–THF, 0°C to rt; (ii) 3,  $-78^{\circ}$ C to rt, 6 h; (iii) 6N NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, rt, 15 h, 77% (>95% diastereometric purity). (b) TBDPS–Cl, excess imidazole, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 16 h, quant. (c) AcOH/THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1:1), rt, 16 h, 99%. (d) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH, rt, 15 min, 98%. (e) MsCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0°C to rt, 1 h, quant. (f) KCN, 18-crown-6, MeCN, 80°C, 5 h, 95%. (g) DIBAl-H, hexane/toluene (2:1),  $-78^{\circ}$ C, 40 min, quant. (h) (i) AllMgBr,  ${}^{1}$ Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe, Et<sub>2</sub>O-THF, 0°C to rt; (ii) 10,  $-78^{\circ}$ C to rt, 3 h; (iii) 6N NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, rt, 15 h, 55% (>95% diastereometric purity). (i) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, cat. DMAP, Py, rt, 94%.

limited diversity in the diterpenoid core, with major variations reported only in the C-15 functionality and C-8 side-chain.<sup>5–8</sup> As part of our ongoing program aimed at the synthesis of simplified analogs of the eleutheside natural products, ideally showing improved synthetic accessibility and retaining microtubule-stabilizing properties, we describe in this full account of our work the synthesis of a number of eleutheside analogs with potent tubulin-assembling and microtubule-stabilizing activity, using ring closing metathesis (RCM) as the key-step for obtaining the 6–10 fused bicyclic ring system.<sup>10</sup> We also report the cytotoxicity tests (IC<sub>50</sub> values) performed on these compounds using several different tumor cell lines.

### 2. Results and discussion

Aldehyde **3** (prepared in 6 steps on a multigram scale from R-(-)-carvone in 30% overall yield)<sup>9a,g</sup> was submitted to the allyl borane derived from (-)- $\alpha$ -pinene,<sup>11</sup> generating the homoallylic oxygenated stereocenter (alcohol **4**, Scheme 1).

The allylation reaction proceeded with complete stereocontrol in favor of the desired stereoisomer (diastereomeric purity >95% by <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C NMR). After standard alcohol protection, an efficient and well established sequence of steps<sup>8c</sup> led to the homologated aldehyde **10**, on which the same allylation procedure described above was applied. Addition of the allyl borane derived from (–)- $\alpha$ -pinene to aldehyde **10** was again completely stereoselective (diastereomeric purity of **11** >95%). Homoallylic alcohol **11** was acetylated to **12**, and this diene was subjected to ring closing metathesis<sup>12</sup> using a variety of catalysts. After a number of attempts, the 'second generation' RCM-catalyst<sup>13</sup> **13** gave the desired ring closed product **14** as a single *Z* stereoisomer in 88% yield (95% considering the recovered starting material, Scheme 2).

As expected, entropic support (by virtue of the cis fusion to the cyclohexyl ring) made ring closure of diene 12 extremely smooth. Despite the known effectiveness of RCM in the synthesis of rings of all sizes, no control over the E/Zstereochemistry of the double bond generated is usually possible for ring sizes > 8.<sup>12</sup> Luckily, and delightfully, the stereochemistry of the double bond created by this RCM reaction was fully controlled in the desired sense (100% Z) by the structure of the new 10-membered carbocycle.<sup>14</sup> This stereochemical course, which was found to be common to the cyclization of all the substrates reported in the present manuscript (vide infra), likely reflects thermodynamic control.<sup>15</sup> The Z stereochemistry of the double bond was unequivocally assigned by detection of the olefinic  ${}^{3}J_{cis}$ coupling constant (11.5 Hz between the protons at  $\delta$ =5.51 and 5.86 ppm, respectively) in a 400 MHz H,H-COSY



**13**; Mst =  $C_6H_2$ -2,4,6-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

Scheme 2.



Scheme 3. *Reagents and conditions*: (a) TBAF, THF, rt, 94%. (b) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 59% (87% considering the recovered starting material). (c)  $K_2CO_3$ , MeOH, 94%. (d) (COCl<sub>2</sub>, DMSO, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -60 to 0°C, 81% (95% considering the recovered starting material). (e) TBAF, THF, rt, quant. (f) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 52%. (g) Ph<sub>3</sub>P=CH<sub>2</sub>, THF, 50°C, 94%. (h) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 47%.

experiment, and by detection of a NOE contact between these protons in a 400 MHz NOESY experiment.

A first series of simple eleutheside analogs (17, 21 and 24) was then synthesized from compound 14 using standard, high-yielding transformations (Scheme 3).

With the goal of synthesizing more functionalized eleutheside analogs, aldehyde **10** was oxyallylated using Brown's methodology [(*Z*)- $\gamma$ -(methoxymethoxy) allyldiisopinocampheyl-borane from (-)- $\alpha$ -pinene]<sup>11d</sup> in high yield (77%) and with good stereoselectivity (**25**/**26**=91:9, Scheme 4). The major diastereomer 25 was isolated by flash chromatography and transformed into the allylic alcohol 28 via a simple protection/deprotection sequence. Second generation RCM catalyst  $13^{13}$  gave the desired ring closed product 29 as a single Z stereoisomer in 73% yield.

The reports that describe application of the RCM to medium sized—particularly ten-membered—rings, are still very rare, especially when dense functionality close to the reaction centre is involved.<sup>14,16</sup> The crucial role of the protecting groups in the cyclization precursor **28** is noteworthy: (a) the large TBDPS group in the homoallylic



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i)  $^{1}$ Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe, AllO-MOM, *s*-BuLi, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, THF,  $-78^{\circ}$ C; (ii) 6N NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, rt, 15 h, 77% (84% considering the recovered starting material, de=82%, **25/26**=10:1). (b) *t*-BuCOCl (PivCl), cat. DMAP, Py, rt, 80%. (c) BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, PhSH, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 to  $-10^{\circ}$ C, 64%. (d) **13** (9 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 120 h, 73% (100% Z).



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeOTf, 2,6-di-t-Bu-Py, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 40°C, 96%. (b) TBAF, THF, rt, 89%. (c) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 66%.



Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: (a) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, cat. DMAP, Py, rt, 71%. (b) TBAF, THF, rt, 92%. (c) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 80%.



Scheme 7. Reagents and conditions: (a) DHP, PPTS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 77%. (b) TBAF, THF, rt, quant. (c) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 61%. (d) PTSA, EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (8:2), 82%.

position helps to suppress the undesired dimerization reaction;<sup>17</sup> (b) a free alcohol<sup>18</sup> in the allylic position is important to promote the cyclization (the RCM reaction did not occur on dienes with variously protected alcohols in the allylic position, e.g. OMe, OMOM).<sup>19</sup>

Compound 29 was transformed into a second set of eleutheside analogs 32, 35 and 39, using standard, high-yielding transformations (Schemes 5-7).

Aldehyde **10** was also oxyallylated using Brown's enantiomeric reagent  $[(Z)-\gamma-(methoxymethoxy)allyldiisopino$  $campheyl-borane from (+)-<math>\alpha$ -pinene]<sup>11d</sup> in high yield (78%) and with excellent stereoselectivity (**26/25**= 98.7:1.3, Scheme 8). The major diastereomer **26** was isolated by flash chromatography and transformed into the allylic alcohol **41** via a simple protection/deprotection sequence (in this case Me<sub>2</sub>S, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O proved more reliable than PhSH, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O for deprotecting the allylic alcohol from the MOM group).<sup>20</sup> Treatment with catalyst **13** gave the desired ring closed product **42** as a single *Z* stereoisomer in 60% yield. By comparing this RCM reaction with the one described above leading to **29**, a relatively minor effect of the stereochemistry of the homoallylic and allylic substituents on the RCM performance can be observed.<sup>21</sup> Finally, a standard sequence of reactions transformed compound **42** into the eleutheside analogs **45** and **49** (Schemes 9 and 10).

The effect of these new eleutheside analogs on the assembly of tubulin and on the stability of the formed microtubules was assessed at Pharmacia (Nerviano, Italy) and at Salford (UK), using the potent microtubule-stabilizing agent paclitaxel as a reference (Table 1).<sup>2b</sup>

Eleutheside analogs 21 and 45 were shown to be at least as

*R. Beumer et al. / Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 8803–8820* 



Scheme 8. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i)  ${}^{d}Ipc_{2}BOMe$ , AlIO-MOM, s-BuLi, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, THF,  $-78^{\circ}C$ ; (ii) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 6N NaOH, rt, 15 h, 78% (de=97.4%, 25/26=1.3:98.7). (b) t-BuCOCl (PivCl), cat. DMAP, Py, rt, 94%. (c) BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, Me<sub>2</sub>S, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-20^{\circ}C$ , 78%. (d) 13 (10 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 120 h, 60% (86% considering the recovered starting material, 100% Z).



Scheme 9. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeOTf, 2,6-di-*t*-Bu-Py, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 40°C, 99%. (b) TBAF, THF, rt, 67%. (c) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, 79%.



Scheme 10. Reagents and conditions: (a) DHP, PPTS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 91%. (b) TBAF, THF, rt, 96%. (c) 16 (Ref. 6b), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 75%. (d) PTSA, EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (8:2), 40%.

Table 1. Tubulin polymerizing activities

Compound	ED <sub>50</sub> (µM)	ED <sub>90</sub> (µM)	Paclitaxel ED50 (µM)	Paclitaxel ED <sub>90</sub> (µM)
17	2.0	10.0	<0.5	0.5
21	0.2	1.2	0.5	3.0
24	5.0	16.0	<0.5	0.5
32	3.0	7.0	0.5	3.0
35	1.0	1.7	<0.5	0.5
39	1.0	1.8	<0.5	0.5
45	<0.5	1.0	<0.5	1.0
49	<0.5	3.0	<0.5	1.0

 $ED_{50}$ , effective dose that induces 50% tubulin polymerization;  $ED_{90}$ , effective dose that induces 90% tubulin polymerization (see Ref. 2b). ED values may vary depending on the tubulin batch (from pig brain): the same batch is used for the paclitaxel reference assay.

**Table 2.** Cytotoxicity assays:  $IC_{50}$  values on A2780, HCT116, HT29 tumor cell lines

Compound	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) (A2780)	IC <sub>50</sub> (μΜ) (HCT116)	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM) (HT29)
17	$4^{\mathrm{a}}$	12 <sup>b</sup>	n.d. <sup>c</sup>
21	$40^{\mathrm{a}}$	$35 - 60^{b}$	30 <sup>a</sup>
24	$4^{a}$	5 <sup>b</sup>	6 <sup>a</sup>
32	$< 5^{a}$	10-25 <sup>b</sup>	7 <sup>a</sup>
35	n.d. <sup>c</sup>	n.d. <sup>c</sup>	n.d. <sup>c</sup>
39	$4^{a}$	$4^{\mathrm{b}}$	5 <sup>a</sup>
45	10 <sup>a</sup>	7 <sup>b</sup>	n.d. <sup>c</sup>
49	$10^{\rm a}$	7 <sup>b</sup>	n.d. <sup>c</sup>

 $IC_{50}$  values: concentration inhibiting cell growth by 50%. A2780: human ovarian carcinoma cell line. HCT116 and HT29: human colon carcinoma cell lines.

<sup>a</sup> Paclitaxel IC<sub>50</sub><5 nM.

<sup>b</sup> Paclitaxel IC<sub>50</sub> $\leq$ 5 nM.

<sup>c</sup> Not determined.

i tot determ

potent as paclitaxel. Microtubules were generated in the presence of CaCl<sub>2</sub> at 37°C and were stable (did not depolymerize) at 10°C. Although there is a general agreement that the (*E*)-*N*-methylurocanic side chain, the C-4/C-7 ether bridge, and the cyclohexene ring are important determinants of antimitotic activity,<sup>5</sup> it is interesting to note that these simplified analogs of the natural product (lacking inter alia the C-4/C-7 ether bridge) retain potent microtubule-stabilizing activity. Given the dramatic impact that the furanose oxygen deletion is likely to have on the conformation of the ring system, the fact that some of these compounds retain activity comparable to paclitaxel in the tubulin polymerization assay is remarkable.

However, the cytotoxicity assays did not parallel the potent tubulin-assembling and microtubule-stabilizing properties: limited cytotoxicity was observed against three common tumor cell lines (human ovarian carcinoma and human colon carcinoma cell lines,  $IC_{50}$  in the  $\mu$ M range, Table 2), three orders of magnitude less than paclitaxel ( $IC_{50}$  in the nM range). This might be due to an easy esterase-mediated hydrolytic cleavage of the *N*-methylurocanic ester side-chain in living cells (it is known that the natural eleuthesides are devoid of any cytotoxicity when the *N*-methylurocanic ester side-chain is lacking in position 8).<sup>5</sup> Natural eleuthesides have a fully substituted quaternary carbon in position 7, adjacent to the ester, which is likely to hinder its hydrolysis.

Work is in progress to synthesize more potent eleutheside analogs (substituted at C-7), investigate the interaction with tubulin and establish their cytotoxicity.

#### 3. Experimental

## 3.1. General procedures

All reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under argon atmosphere. All commercially available reagents were used as received. The solvents were dried by distillation over the following drying agents and were transferred under nitrogen:  $CH_3CN$  ( $CaH_2$ ),  $CH_2Cl_2$  ( $CaH_2$ ), THF (Na),  $Et_2O$  (Na). Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> precoated glass plates (0.25 mm thickness). TLC  $R_{\rm f}$  values are reported. Visualization was accomplished by irradiation with a UV lamp and/or staining with ceric ammonium molybdate (CAM) solution. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 Å, particle size 40-64 µm. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on 400, 300, or 200 MHz spectrometers. Proton chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) with the solvent reference relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) employed as the internal standard (CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  7.26 ppm; d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO  $\delta$  2.50 ppm). Carbon NMR spectra were recorded on 400 (100 MHz), 300 (75 MHz) or 200 (50 MHz) spectrometers with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to TMS with the respective solvent resonance as the internal standard (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  77.0). Infrared spectra were recorded on a standard Infrared Spectrophotometer; peaks are reported in cm<sup>-1</sup>. Optical rotation values were measured on an automatic polarimeter at the sodium D line. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on a hybrid quadrupole time of flight mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI ion source. A Reserpine solution 100 pg/µL (about 100 counts/s), 0.1% HCOOH/ CH<sub>3</sub>CN 1:1, was used as reference compound (Lock Mass).

3.1.1. (2R)-1-[(1R,5R,6R)-6-Dimethoxymethyl-5-isopropyl-2-methyl-cyclohex-2-enyl]-pent-4-en-2-ol (4). To AllMgBr (0.61 mL, 0.61 mmol, 1.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O) was slowly added <sup>1</sup>Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe (0.83 mL, 0.71 mmol, 0.86 M in THF) at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, then cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C and aldehyde 3 [prepared from R-(-)-carvone in 30% overall yield according to Ref. 9g; 149 mg, 0.51 mmol] in THF (2 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 6 h, warmed to room temperature and an aqueous NaOH solution (0.25 mL, 6.0 M) and  $H_2O_2$  (0.20 mL, 35%) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) to give compound 4 (117 mg, 77%, de $\geq$ 95%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.43 (hexane/EtOAc 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =5.98–5.77 (m, 1H), 5.36 (m, 1H), 5.16–5.12 (m, 1H), 5.07 (br s, 1H), 4.36 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95–3.86 (m, 1H), 3.38 (s, 6H), 2.46–1.19 (m, 14H), 0.94 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =136.7, 135.6, 121.1, 116.8, 106.9, 68.4, 54.7, 54.4, 42.9, 40.2, 37.1, 36.0, 34.7, 27.0, 24.4, 22.2, 21.0, 17.1; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3590, 3480, 3064, 2945, 2919, 2820, 1516, 1457, 1438, 1380, 1361, 1155, 1105, 1065, 910;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +63.6$  (*c*=1.16, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>: 319.2249 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 319.2241.

**3.1.2.** *tert*-Butyl-{(1*R*)-1-[(1*R*,5*R*,6*R*)-6-dimethoxymethyl-5-isopropyl-2-methyl-cyclohex-2-enyl-methyl]but-3-enyloxy}-diphenyl-silane (5). Alcohol 4 (184 mg, 0.62 mmol) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) and cooled to 0°C. Imidazole (211 mg, 3.11 mmol) and TBDPSCI (341 mg, 1.24 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture stirred for 90 min at 0°C and at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the

residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 25:1) to yield compound 5 (332 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.40$  (hexane/EtOAc 25:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.78-7.76 (m, 4H), 7.72-7.49 (m, 6H), 5.76-5.59 (m, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 4.90-4.73 (m, 2H), 4.09 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.06-3.98 (m, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 2.47-2.41 (m, 1H), 2.14-2.07 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.72 (m, 6H), 1.65 and 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.42-1.22 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 0.84 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =138.6, 136.1 (2C), 136.0 (2C), 135.3, 135.1, 134.8, 129.2 (2C), 127.3 (2C), 127.2 (2C), 120.5, 116.1, 107.6, 72.5, 54.7, 54.6, 42.3, 41.3, 38.1, 35.5, 35.1, 27.1, 27.1 (3C), 24.1, 22.9, 21.5, 19.4, 16.3; IR  $(CCl_4)$ :  $\nu = 3060, 3040, 2943, 2917, 2840, 1465, 1420, 1381$ 1320, 1105, 1075, 905;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ =+46.4 (*c*=1.04, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>50</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>Si: 557.3427 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 557.3413.

3.1.3. {(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3ene}-carbaldehyde (6). Compound 5 (332 mg, 0.62 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of AcOH/H2O/THF (15 mL, v/v/ v, 3:1:1) and stirred at room temperature for 21 h. The solution was transferred to a separatory funnel charged with a saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (30 mL). Solid NaHCO3 was added until no more gas was evolved and the solution was neutralized to pH=7. EtOAc (20 mL) was added and after separation of the layers, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na2SO4. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded pure aldehyde 6 (299 mg, 99%) as a colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =9.55 (d, J=3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.58 (m, 4H), 7.48-7.29 (m, 6H), 5.72-5.51 (m, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 4.99-4.79 (m, 2H), 3.79-3.69 (m, 1H), 2.43-1.01 (m, 22H), 0.88 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.74 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=206.5, 136.1, 135.9 (4C), 134.2, 134.1, 134.0, 129.7, 129.6, 127.6 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 121.6, 117.5, 72.1, 53.1, 41.6, 36.9, 35.7, 35.3, 27.1 (3C), 26.3, 23.8, 22.3, 20.6, 19.3, 16.9; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3060, 2945, 2918, 2842, 2700, 1713, 1465, 1458, 1420, 1382, 1363, 1105, 1061, 910;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +28.4$  (*c*=1.22, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>44</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub>Si: 511.3008 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 511.2996.

3.1.4. {(1*R*,2*R*,6*R*)-2-[(2*R*)-2-(*tert*-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3enyl}-methanol (7). Aldehyde 6 (834 mg, 1.71 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (7 mL) and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (129 mg, 3.41 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. Solid NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (919 mg) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min, was then diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 25:1) to yield alcohol 7 (818 mg, 98%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.21 (hexane/EtOAc 25:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 7.77-7.57 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.34 (m, 6H), 5.92-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 5.05-4.92 (m, 2H), 4.08-3.95 (m, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J=10.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.48-3.38 (m, 1H), 2.30 (t, J= 5.8 Hz, 2H), 1.90–0.91 (m, 21H), 0.85 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.79 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=137.4, 136.0 (4C), 135.2, 134.7, 134.4, 129.6 (2C), 127.5 (4C), 121.5, 117.2, 72.3, 62.4, 41.7, 41.3, 36.9, 36.0, 34.7, 27.1 (4C), 24.1, 23.4, 21.2, 19.4, 16.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3615, 3060, 2945, 2918, 2880, 2842, 1422, 1381, 1363, 1105, 1058;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =+67.5 (*c*=0.99, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>47</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: 491.3345 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 491.3341.

3.1.5. Methanesulfonic acid  $\{(1R, 2R, 6R), (2-[(2R), 2-(tert$ butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-iso-propyl-3methyl-cyclohex-3-enyl}-methyl ester (8). Alcohol 7 (218 mg, 0.44 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 mL) and cooled to 0°C. NEt<sub>3</sub> (135 mg, 1.33 mmol) and MsCl (76 mg, 0.66 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0°C and for 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was taken up in EtOAc (10 mL) and  $H_2O$  (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 4:1) to yield mesylate 8 (250 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.63$  (hexane/EtOAc 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.65 - 7.59 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.44 - 7.30 \text{ (m, })$ 6H), 5.88–5.67 (m, 1H), 5.23 (br s, 1H), 5.02–4.88 (m, 2H), 4.21 (dd, J=10.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J=10.0, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.31-2.26 (m, 3H), 2.04-0.99 (m, 19H), 0.82 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.80 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=136.3, 135.9 (4C), 134.7, 134.4 (2C), 129.6 (2C), 127.6 (4C), 121.5, 117.5, 72.1, 70.1, 41.3, 39.0, 37.3, 37.0, 36.3, 34.8, 27.3, 27.1 (3C), 23.7, 23.2, 21.0, 19.4, 17.0; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3070, 2960, 2930, 2858, 1471, 1428, 1389, 1368, 1348, 1329, 1180, 1110, 1062;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ +58.1 (c=0.92, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>52</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SiS: 586.3386 [*M*+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; found: 586.3368.

3.1.6. {(1*R*,2*R*,6*R*)-2-[(2*R*)-2-(*tert*-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3enyl}-acetonitrile (9). Compound 8 (162 mg, 0.29 mmol), KCN (56 mg, 0.86 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (226 mg, 0.86 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to 80°C for 6 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to yield compound 9 (136 mg, 95%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.40$ (hexane/EtOAc 14:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 7.71–7.69 (m, 4H), 7.47–7.33 (m, 6H), 5.84–5.70 (m, 1H), 5.22 (br s, 1H), 5.05-4.92 (m, 2H), 3.95-3.86 (m, 1H), 2.27-1.27 (m, 15H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.83 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.79 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=135.8 (4C), 135.4, 134.3, 134.2, 134.1, 129.5 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 121.2, 119.4, 117.6, 71.8, 40.9, 38.5, 36.3, 36.1, 35.9, 27.2, 27.0 (3C), 23.4, 22.8, 20.8, 19.2, 17.8, 17.5; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3070, 2955, 2922, 2890, 2856, 1715, 1470, 1460, 1425, 1388, 1369, 1110, 1070, 915;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +66.4$  (c= 1.28, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z*: calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>45</sub>NaNOSi: 522.3168 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 522.3179.

3.1.7. {(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(*tert*-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3enyl}-acetaldehyde (10). Compound 9 (185 mg, 0.37 mmol) was dissolved in toluene/*n*-hexane (6 mL, v/v, 1:2) and cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C. DIBAL-H (3.7 mL, 3.70 mmol, 1.0 M in hexanes) was added and the solution was stirred for 45 min at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. EtOAc (3 mL) and an aqueous tartaric

acid solution (3 mL, 1.0 M) were added and the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ , the combined organic extracts were washed with an aqueous Na,K-tartrate solution (2×5 mL, 1.0 M) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to yield aldehyde 10 (186 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.39$  (hexane/EtOAc 14:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=9.66 (s, 1H), 7.78-7.71 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.35 (m, 6H), 5.91-5.71 (m, 1H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 5.07-4.90 (m, 2H), 3.91 (q, J=5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.38-2.03 (m, 5H), 1.99-0.93 (m, 19H), 0.87 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (d, *J*=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=203.1, 136.6, 135.9 (4C), 134.6, 134.5, 134.3, 129.6 (2C), 127.5 (4C), 121.3, 117.4, 72.1, 44.2, 41.2, 38.9, 37.0, 36.4, 34.3, 27.4, 27.1 (3C), 23.7, 23.1, 21.2, 19.4, 17.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3060, 2943, 2917, 2880, 2842, 2695, 1720, 1465, 1455, 1420, 1382, 1365, 1103, 1095, 1060, 910;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +53.3$  (c=1.14, EtOAc).

3.1.8. (2R)-1-{(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-Butyl-diphenylsilanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-**3-enyl}-pent-4-en-2-ol** (11). To AllMgBr (0.15 mL, 0.15 mmol, 1.0 M in  $Et_2O$ ) <sup>1</sup>Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe was added (0.17 mL, 0.17 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, then cooled to -78°C and a solution of aldehyde 10 (25 mg, 0.05 mmol) in THF (0.2 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 3 h, then warmed to room temperature and an aqueous NaOH solution (0.25 mL, 6.0 M) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.20 mL, 35%) were added. Stirring was continued at ambient temperature for 40 min, then H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 4:1) to yield compound **11** (15 mg, 55%, de≥95%) by <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.59$  (hexane/ EtOAc 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.73-7.56 (m, 4H), 7.46-7.27 (m, 6H), 5.91-5.70 (m, 2H), 5.49-4.92 (m, 5H), 4.17-3.89 (m, 1H), 3.67-3.62 (m, 1H), 2.51-1.92 (m, 5H), 1.82-1.19 (m, 13H), 1.09 (s, 9H), 0.82 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.76 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =136.9, 135.9 (4C), 135.0, 134.9, 134.6, 134.5, 129.5 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 121.1, 118.0, 117.0, 72.2, 68.3, 41.9, 41.5, 38.5, 37.1, 35.8, 35.4, 35.2, 27.1, 27.0 (3C), 23.9, 23.1, 21.2, 19.3, 17.5; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3595, 3078, 2960, 2934, 2899, 2860, 1642, 1475, 1465, 1430, 1389, 1371, 1110, 1069, 917;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +38.8$  (c=0.84, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>52</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub>Si: 567.3634 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 567.3665.

**3.1.9.** Acetic acid { $(1R)-1-{(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert$ butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3 $methyl-cyclohex-3-enylmethyl}-but-3-enyl} ester (12).$ Compound 11 (234 mg, 0.43 mmol) was dissolved inpyridine (1.5 mL). Ac<sub>2</sub>O (88 mg, 0.86 mmol) and DMAP(6 mg, 0.05 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture wasstirred for 24 h at room temperature. EtOAc (20 mL) wasadded and the organic layer was washed with a saturatedaqueous KHSO<sub>4</sub> solution (2×15 mL), the aqueous layer wasback extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to give compound 12 (237 mg, 94%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.58 (hexane/EtOAc 14:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.99-7.65 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.28 (m, 6H), 5.91-5.62 (m, 2H), 5.19–4.87 (m, 6H), 3.91 (q, J=5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.01 (m, 5H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.14 (m, 11H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 0.95-0.84 (m, 1H), 0.76 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.72 (d, J= 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =170.4, 135.8 (4C), 135.0, 134.5, 134.4 (2C), 133.6, 129.4 (2C), 127.3 (4C), 121.1, 117.6, 117.0, 71.9, 71.2, 41.4, 39.2, 39.0, 36.6, 34.8, 33.5, 31.5, 27.2, 27.0 (3C), 23.6, 22.9, 21.1, 20.9, 19.3, 19.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3050, 2934, 2911, 2831, 1726, 1460, 1450, 1415, 1375, 1359, 1096, 1090, 1052, 900;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +27.9$  (c=1.26, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z*: calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>54</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>Si: 609.3740 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 609.3746.

3.1.10. Acetic acid [(4R,4aR,6R,11R,12aR)-11-(tert-butyldiphenyl-silanyloxy)-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5, 6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (14). Compound 12 (67 mg, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (12 mL). Second generation RCM catalyst 13 (5 mg, 6  $\mu$ mol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) was slowly added. The mixture stirred for 2 days at room temperature. Additional catalyst 13 (2 mg, 2 µmol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was slowly added. The mixture stirred for additional 3 days at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to recover unreacted 12 (6 mg) and to provide the bicyclic product 14 (57 mg, 88% yield, 95% considering the recovered starting material) as colorless oils.  $R_f=0.43$  (hexane/EtOAc 14:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.72 - 7.62 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.44 - 7.33 \text{ (m, 4H)}$ 6H), 5.86 (dt, J=11.1, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (ddd, J=11.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (m, 1H), 5.13 (m, 1H), 4.17 (m, 1H), 2.77-2.49 (m, 2H), 2.29-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.15 (m, 1H), 2.05-0.85 (m, 23H), 0.89–0.85 (m, 1H), 0.81 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.77–0.65 (m, 1H), 0.61 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=170.4, 138.5, 135.7 (4C), 134.4 (2C), 129.5 (2C), 127.5 (4C), 126.9 (2C), 120.4, 72.8 (2C), 37.8, 37.0, 36.6, 34.7, 31.9, 31.6, 26.9 (4C), 26.2, 24.2, 23.9, 21.2, 20.9, 19.2, 14.9; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3070, 2958, 2926, 2856, 1740, 1460, 1427, 1368, 1110, 1067;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +41.0$ (c=1.26, EtOAc); HRMS [EI (70 eV)]: m/z: calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si: 558.3529 [*M*]<sup>+</sup>; found: 558.3532.

**3.1.11.** Acetic acid [(4*R*,4*aR*,6*R*,11*R*,12*aR*)-11-hydroxy-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4*a*,5,6,7,10,11,12,12*a*-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (15). Compound 14 (19 mg, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.55 mL) and TBAF (0.17 mL, 0.17 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. EtOAc (10 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with an aqueous phosphate buffer solution (2×5 mL, pH=7) and then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) to yield compound 15 (10 mg, 94%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.27 (hexane/EtOAc 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =5.72 (dt, *J*=11.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.53 (dt, *J*=11.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.31–5.12 (m, 2H), 4.26–4.19 (m, 1H), 2.85–2.66 (m, 2H), 2.42–1.16 (m, 19H), 0.85 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.67 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=170.4$ , 138.4, 127.9, 126.2, 121.1, 72.6, 71.3, 38.0, 37.4, 36.8, 34.7, 31.9, 31.8, 27.0, 26.4, 24.4, 24.3, 21.2, 21.0, 15.1; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu=3634$ , 3621, 2924, 2843, 1739, 1460, 1382, 1364;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}=+4.6$  (c=0.46, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>: 343.2249 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 343.2241.

3.1.12. (E)-3-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,11R,12aR)-11-acetoxy-1-isopropyl-4methyl-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-vl] ester (17). Compound 15 (11 mg, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) and added to mixed anhydride 16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 123 mg, 0.52 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (52 mg, 0.52 mmol) and DMAP (4 mg, 0.04 mmol) were added and the solution stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:4) to recover unreacted 15 (4 mg) and to yield compound 17 (9 mg, 59% yield, 87% considering the recovered starting material) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.25$  (hexane/EtOAc 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.55 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 6.54 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.74-5.66 (m, 1H), 5.62–5.55 (m, 1H), 5.45–5.39 (m, 1H), 5.34 (br s, 1H), 5.24-5.18 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.88-2.77 (m, 2H), 2.40-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.23-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.05-1.26 (m, 12H), 0.87 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.70 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =170.4, 166.7, 137.9, 138.4, 135.9, 127.9, 126.4, 122.2, 121.0, 116.4, 73.6, 72.5, 37.5, 37.3, 34.6, 33.5, 32.7, 31.6, 29.6, 29.2, 26.9, 26.2, 24.3, 24.2, 21.2, 20.9, 15.1; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=2960, 2850, 1745, 1705, 1640, 1450, 1440, 1380, 1345, 1295, 905;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ -29.0 (c=0.71, EtOAc); HRMS [EI (30 eV)]: m/z: calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 454.2832 [*M*]<sup>+</sup>; found: 454.2802.

3.1.13. (4R,4aR,6R,11R,12aR)-11-(tert-Butyl-diphenylsilanoxy)-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12adecahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-ol (18). Compound 14 (30 mg, 0.05 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (1 mL). K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (17 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added and the solution stirred for 15 h at room temperature. H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL), the combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated aqueous NaCl solution (2×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 18 (26 mg, 94%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.25$ (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 7.74-7.61 (m, 4H), 7.46-7.31 (m, 6H), 5.82 (dt, J=11.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (dt, J=11.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (br s, 1H), 4.23-4.04 (m, 2H), 2.70-2.49 (m, 2H), 2.33-2.04 (m, 3H), 1.99–1.18 (m, 13H), 1.08 (s, 9H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.72 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=$ 138.5, 135.7 (4C), 134.5, 134.4, 129.4 (2C), 127.9, 127.5 (4C), 126.6, 120.4, 72.7, 71.0, 37.9, 37.3, 36.6, 35.5, 33.7, 32.0, 29.1, 27.0 (4C), 24.3, 23.9, 21.0, 19.2, 15.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3611, 2942, 2921, 2842, 1472, 1458, 1427, 1389, 1369, 1109, 1067, 909;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +25.3$  (*c*=0.73, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>48</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub>Si: 539.3321 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 539.3306.

3.1.14. (4R,4aR,11R,12aR)-11-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanoxy)-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4a,5,7,10,11,12,12a-octahydro-4H-benzocyclodecen-6-one (19). (COCl)<sub>2</sub> (91 mg, 0.72 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) and cooled to -60°C. DMSO (77 mg, 0.98 mmol) was added and the solution stirred for 10 min at  $-60^{\circ}$ C. Compound 18 (62 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) was added and the solution stirred for further 30 min at  $-60^{\circ}$ C. NEt<sub>3</sub> (199 mg, 1.97 mmol) was added, the solution was allowed to warm to 0°C over 1 h and stirred additional 10 min at 0°C. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (3 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to provide unreacted 18 (9 mg) and ketone 19 (50 mg, 81% yield, 95% considering the recovered starting material) as colorless oils.  $R_f=0.55$  (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.77-7.59 (m, 4H), 7.47-7.29 (m, 6H), 6.19-6.06 (m, 1H), 5.97-5.84 (m, 1H), 5.06 (br s, 1H), 3.96-3.82 (m, 1H), 3.20-2.87 (m, 3H), 2.32-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.89-1.61 (m, 5H), 1.41-1.18 (m, 5H), 1.07 (s, 9H), 0.87 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.69 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =213.0, 139.2, 135.8 (4C), 134.0 (2C), 131.4, 129.7, 129.6, 127.6 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 123.7, 116.7, 73.0, 44.1, 38.7, 36.0, 36.7 (2C), 36.5, 32.1, 27.0 (3C), 26.6, 24.1, 22.6, 21.1, 19.2, 14.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3022, 2955, 2922, 2899, 2860, 1708, 1705, 1469, 1427;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +49.0$  (*c*=0.79, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for  $C_{34}H_{46}NaO_2Si:$  537.3165 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 537.3139.

3.1.15. (4R,4aR,11R,12aR)-11-Hydroxy-4-isopropyl-1methyl-3,4a,5,7,10,11,12,12a-octahydro-4H-benzocyclodecen-6-one (20). Compound 19 (16 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.50 mL) and TBAF (0.06 mL, 0.06 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 23 h at room temperature. Additional TBAF (0.06 mL, 0.06 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was then added and the solution stirred for further 5 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 8:2) to yield compound **20** (10 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.17 (hexane/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=6.01-5.84 (m, 2H), 5.23 (br s, 1H), 4.07-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.24-2.87 (m, 3H), 2.42-2.08 (m, 4H), 1.92-1.01 (m, 11H), 0.87 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.71 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=212.8, 139.0, 130.3, 124.6, 119.5, 71.9, 44.2, 39.0, 38.0, 37.1, 37.0, 36.9, 32.1, 26.6, 24.2, 23.3, 21.2, 14.4; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3627, 2960, 2951, 2904, 1709, 1460, 1442, 1389, 1371, 909;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -4.7$ (c=0.51, EtOAc).

3.1.16. (*E*)-3-(1-Methyl-1*H*-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,12aR)-1-isopropyl-4-methyl-11-oxo-1,2, 4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-enyl] ester (21). Compound 20 (9 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) and added to mixed anhydride

16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 110 mg, 0.47 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (48 mg, 0.47 mmol) and DMAP (4 mg, 0.03 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 3 days at 40°C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:5) to yield compound 21 (7 mg, 52%) as a colorless oil. R<sub>f</sub>=0.18 (hexane/EtOAc 1:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.55 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 6.55 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.97-5.88 (m, 2H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 5.15 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.25-3.15 (m, 1H), 3.09-2.96 (m, 2H), 2.46-2.14 (m, 4H), 1.94-1.55 (m, 7H), 1.48-1.17 (m, 3H), 0.89 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =212.7, 166.6, 139.1, 139.0. 138.6, 136.0, 130.6, 124.7, 122.3, 119.2, 116.3, 73.7, 44.1, 39.1, 37.0, 36.8 (2C), 34.1, 33.5, 29.7, 26.7, 24.2, 23.1, 21.1, 14.4; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=2945, 2920, 2890, 1703, 1640, 1452, 1381, 1390, 1153, 1104, 905;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -15.6$  (c=0.34, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 411.2648 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 411.2648.

3.1.17. (1R,4aR,6R,12aR)-tert-Butyl-(1-isopropyl-4methyl-11-methylene-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yloxy)-diphenyl-silane (22).Ph<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>3</sub>Br (17 mg, 0.047 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.2 mL). n-BuLi (13 µL, 0.020 mmol, 1.6 M in n-hexane) was added and the solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Compound 19 (8 mg, 0.016 mmol) was added in THF (600 µL) and the solution was heated to 50°C for 12 h. H<sub>2</sub>O (2.0 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane) to yield compound 22 (8 mg, 94%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.32$  (hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.72-7.65 (m, 4H), 7.46-7.33 (m, 6H), 6.03-5.87 (m, 1H), 5.73-5.61 (m, 1H), 5.11 (br s, 1H), 4.94 (br s, 1H), 4.75 (br s, 1H), 4.04-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.08 (dd, J=16.1, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.84-2.70 (m, 2H), 2.19-1.44 (m, 8H), 1.41-1.17 (m, 6H), 1.09 (s, 9H), 0.88 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=$ 138.4, 135.9 (4C), 134.5 (2C), 133.8, 129.6, 129.5, 128.8, 128.6 (2C), 127.6, 127.5 (2C), 119.2, 111.1, 73.3, 39.4, 37.7, 37.3, 37.1, 36.3, 32.1, 30.7, 27.1 (3C), 26.6, 24.5, 23.1, 21.1 (2C), 19.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3070, 3018, 2955, 2925, 2856, 1470, 1459, 1423, 1385, 1366, 1308, 1108, 1071;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +68.4$  (c=0.25, EtOAc).

**3.1.18.** (1*R*,4a*R*,6*R*,12a*R*)-1-Isopropyl-4-methyl-11methylene-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-ol (23). Compound 22 (8 mg, 0.015 mmol) was dissolved in THF (1.0 mL) and TBAF (73  $\mu$ L, 0.075 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 12 h at room temperature. Additional TBAF (73  $\mu$ L, 0.075 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 12 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ EtOAc 9:1) to yield compound **23** (4 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.28 (hexanes/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=5.76-5.62 (m, 2H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.08-3.97 (m, 1H), 3.24-3.12 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.74 (m, 2H), 2.29-1.67 (m, 9H), 1.61-1.34 (m, 5H), 0.87 (d, *J*=6.7 Hz, 4H), 0.77 (d, *J*=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=133.8, 129.8, 127.0, 123.3, 120.0, 111.7, 72.0, 39.7, 37.7, 37.5, 36.9, 36.7, 32.2, 31.1, 26.8, 24.6, 23.6, 21.1 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3632, 3080, 3024, 2967, 2930, 1461, 1455, 1440, 1389, 1370; [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup>=+36.4 (*c*=0.17, EtOAc).

3.1.19. (E)-3-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,12aR)-(1-isopropyl-4-methyl-11-methylene-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6yl] ester (24). Compound 23 (4 mg, 0.0091 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL) and added to mixed anhydride 16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 51 mg, 0.137 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (22 mg, 0.219 mmol) and DMAP (1 mg, 0.008 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:4) to yield compound 24 (3 mg, 47%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.30$  (hexane/EtOAc 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.62 (br s, 1H), 7.54 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (br s, 1H), 6.59 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.74-5.62 (m, 2H), 5.30-5.11 (m, 2H), 5.04 (br s, 1H), 4.72 (br s, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.25-2.73 (m, 3H), 2.34-1.55 (m, 7H), 1.44-1.21 (m, 6H), 0.87 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 4H), 0.77 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=164.3$ , 148.2, 138.2, 135.4, 131.5, 131.3, 129.6, 127.6, 119.7, 113.8, 111.6, 74.7, 39.3, 37.4, 36.9, 36.2, 34.8, 33.7, 30.9, 29.7, 29.5, 26.5, 24.5, 23.4, 21.0 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3380, 3075, 2956, 2922, 2856, 1766, 1709, 1645, 1460, 1428, 1382, 1368, 1305, 1159, 1099;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +6.0$  (c=0.05, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{26}H_{37}N_2O_2$ : 409.2855 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 409.2855.

3.1.20.  $(2S,3S)-1-\{(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-Buty)-2-(t$ diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methylcyclohex-3-enyl}-3-methoxymethoxy-pent-4-en-2-ol (25) and (2R,3R)-1-{(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-butyl-diphenylsilanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3-envl}-3-methoxymethoxy-pent-4-en-2-ol (26). Methoxymethyl allyl ether (180 mg, 1.75 mmol) in THF (4.0 mL) was cooled to -78°C and sec-BuLi (1.10 mL, 1.45 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane) was added. The reaction solution was stirred at -78°C for 30 min and <sup>1</sup>Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe (1.60 mL, 1.45 mmol, 0.93 M in THF) was then added. Stirring was maintained for 1 h, BF3·Et2O (263 mg, 1.86 mmol) was then added, followed by aldehyde 10 (293 mg, 0.58 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL). The mixture was stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 5 h and then slowly warmed to room temperature. An aqueous NaOH solution (4.0 mL, 6.0 M) and  $H_2O_2$  (4.0 mL, 35%) were then added and the mixture was left stirring overnight. H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) to provide unreacted 10 (56 mg), 25 (246 mg, 70%) and 26 (25 mg, 7%) as colorless oils (total yield=77%, 84% considering the recovered starting

material, de=82%, **25/26** 10:1). **25**:  $R_f=0.43$  (hexane/ EtOAc 6:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.95–7.66 (m, 4H), 7.45–7.30 (m, 6H), 5.91–5.51 (m, 2H), 5.32–5.16 (m, 3H), 5.01–4.90 (m, 2H), 4.72 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (q, J=5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.79-3.72 (m, 1H), 3.58-3.47 (m, 1H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 2.35-2.14 (m, 5H), 1.93-1.14 (m, 11H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.79 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.77 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 136.4, 135.9$  (4C), 135.1, 134.9, 134.7, 134.6, 129.4 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 120.9, 119.9, 117.0, 93.9, 81.9, 72.2, 71.4, 55.7, 41.3, 39.3, 37.1, 35.8, 34.3, 31.3, 27.5, 27.1 (3C), 23.9, 22.9, 21.2, 19.3, 19.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3590, 3078, 2955, 2893, 2860, 1473, 1462, 1430, 1390, 1369, 1152, 1108, 935, 915;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +43.8$  (c=0.90, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{38}H_{56}NaO_4Si:$  627.3845 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 627.3828; **26**:  $R_f$ =0.37 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.71 - 7.60 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.47 - 7.30 \text{ (m, 4H)}$ 6H), 5.96-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.65-5.49 (m, 1H), 5.38-5.21 (m, 2H), 5.16 (br s, 1H), 5.07-4.92 (m, 2H), 4.73 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.98-3.83 (m, 1H), 3.81-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.50 (m, 1H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 2.46-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.90-0.98 (m, 20H), 0.90-0.87 (m, 1H), 0.83 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.69 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=137.3, 135.9 (4C), 135.1, 134.8, 134.7 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 121.9, 120.2, 117.0, 93.7, 82.4, 72.1, 70.3, 55.8, 40.8, 37.5, 37.1, 35.8, 35.4, 31.3, 29.7, 27.0 (3C), 26.8, 24.3, 23.9, 21.1, 19.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3600, 3080, 2960, 2938, 2899, 2862, 1475, 1432, 1391, 1372, 1158, 1108, 912;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +48.1$  (*c*=1.09, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>56</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>Si: 627.3846  $[M+Na]^+$ ; found: 627.3860.

3.1.21. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid  $\{(1S,2S)-1-$ {(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(*tert*-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3-enylmethyl}-2-methoxymethoxy-but-3-enyl} ester (27). Compound 25 (173 mg, 0.29 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (2.0 mL). DMAP (4 mg, 0.03 mmol) and PivCl (172 mg, 1.43 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 72 h. EtOAc (10 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous KHSO<sub>4</sub> solution (2×10 mL), the combined aqueous layers were back extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 27 (158 mg, 80%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.52 (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.71-7.66 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.28 (m, 6H), 5.85-5.59 (m, 2H), 5.29-5.17 (m, 3H), 5.03-4.90 (m, 3H), 4.65 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.09–3.87 (m, 2H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.30-2.12 (m, 3H), 1.85 (br s, 1H), 1.72-1.22 (m, 11H), 1.18 (s, 9H), 1.04 (s, 9H), 0.82 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.72 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 177.6, 135.9 (4C), 135.3, 134.8, 134.6 (2C), 134.1,$ 129.5 (2C), 127.5 (4C), 121.0, 119.0, 117.2, 94.4, 77.8, 72.2, 71.7, 55.6, 41.4, 39.4, 36.8, 34.8, 32.7, 27.4, 27.3 (3C), 27.2, 27.0 (3C), 23.6, 22.5, 21.0, 20.6, 19.3 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3061, 2942, 2920, 2882, 2842, 1722, 1455, 1467, 1458, 1423, 1392, 1385, 1362, 1150, 1107, 1098, 909;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +25.5$  (c=0.78, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{43}H_{64}NaO_5Si$ : 711.4420 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 711.4412.

3.1.22. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid  $\{(1S,2S)-1-\{(1R,$ 2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanoxy)-pent-4envl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3-envlmethyl}-2hydroxy-but-3-enyl} ester (28). Compound 27 (10 mg, 0.015 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.3 mL) and cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C. PhSH (2 mg, 0.015 mmol) and BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (4 mg, 0.029 mmol) were added and the solution was allowed to warm to -10°C and stirred at -10°C for 2 h. Then a saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution (2 mL) was added and the solution was warmed up to room temperature. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 8:2) to give compound 28 (6 mg, 64%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.54$  (hexane/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.71–7.67 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.31 (m, 6H), 5.89-5.72 (m, 2H), 5.34 (br s, 1H), 5.25-5.14 (m, 2H), 5.03-4.91 (m, 3H), 4.08 (br s, 1H), 3.93-3.87 (m, 1H), 2.27-2.16 (m, 3H), 2.01-1.22 (m, 14H), 1.18 (s, 9H), 1.04 (s, 9H), 0.93-0.88 (m, 1H), 0.82 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.72 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(50.3 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 176.1, 137.1 (2C), 136.4, 135.9$ (2C), 135.0 (2C), 134.7, 129.5 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 121.0, 117.2, 116.4, 74.4, 73.4, 72.3, 41.4, 39.2, 36.7, 35.0, 33.3, 28.0, 27.5, 27.3 (3C), 27.0 (3C), 23.8, 22.6, 20.9 (2C), 20.0, 19.3 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3618, 3582, 3060, 2942, 2918, 2842, 1724, 1472, 1465, 1458, 1421, 1382, 1363, 1149, 1101, 1060, 908;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +6.1$  (*c*=0.56, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>62</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>Si: 669.4315 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 669.4299.

3.1.23. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6S,7S,11-R,12aR)-11-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanoxy)-7-hydroxy-4isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydrobenzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (29). Compound 28 (33 mg, 0.05 mmol) was dissolved in degassed  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5.1 mL). Second generation RCM catalyst 13 (2 mg, 2.5 µmol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature. Additional catalyst 13 (1 mg, 1.3 µmol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mL) was slowly added and the mixture stirred for further 2 days at room temperature. Additional catalyst 13 (1 mg,  $1.3 \mu$ mol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mL) was slowly added and the mixture stirred for further 1 day at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 8:2) to give compound 29 (23 mg, 73%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.44$  (hexane/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.73-7.65 (m, 4H), 7.47-7.35 (m, 6H), 5.99 (dt, J=11.5, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (t, J=10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (br s, 1H), 5.05–4.96 (m, 1H), 4.77 (t, J=9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.23-4.16 (m, 1H), 2.65-2.57 (m, 1H),2.32-2.27 (m, 1H), 1.89-1.54 (m, 9H), 1.40-1.05 (m, 23H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.64 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =178.1, 137.1, 135.8 (4C), 135.4, 134.8, 134.5 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 121.0, 117.2, 116.4, 74.4, 73.3, 72.2, 41.3, 39.2, 36.6, 34.6, 33.1, 27.7, 27.3 (3C), 26.9 (3C), 23.6, 22.6, 20.9 (2C), 20.0; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): *v*=3630, 2958, 2925, 2852, 1730, 1425, 1367, 1155, 1112, 908;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +17.5$  (c=0.60, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z*: calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>56</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>Si: 639.3846 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 639.3855.

3.1.24. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6S,7S,11-R,12aR)-11-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-4-isopropyl-7-methoxy-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (30). Compound 29 (14 mg, 0.023 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mL). 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-pyridine (44 mg, 0.23 mmol) and MeOTf (19 mg, 0.12 mmol) were added and the solution stirred for 18 h at 40°C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 30 (14 mg, 96%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.28$  (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.74–7.60 (m, 4H), 7.54-7.27 (m, 6H), 6.08 (dt, J=11.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (t, J=10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.16-5.01 (m, 2H), 4.29-4.08 (m, 2H),3.18 (s, 3H), 2.68–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.35–2.17 (m, 1H), 2.09– 0.84 (m, 31H), 0.80 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.57 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=177.7, 138.6, 135.8 (4C), 134.3 (2C), 130.9, 129.6 (2C), 129.2, 127.6 (4C), 120.5, 78.8, 74.8, 72.2, 56.2, 37.6, 37.1, 36.4, 35.3, 32.6, 29.7, 27.2 (3C), 27.0 (3C), 26.9, 26.7, 24.3, 24.1, 21.0 (2C), 19.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=2948, 2920, 2848, 1723, 1475, 1422, 1385, 1363, 1279, 1155, 1099, 1059;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +7.8$  (c=0.77, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>58</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>Si: 653.4002 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 653.3986.

3.1.25. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6S,7S,11-R,12aR)-11-hydroxy-4-isopropyl-7-methoxy-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7, 10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6yl] ester (31). Compound 30 (14 mg, 0.022 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.50 mL) and TBAF (0.044 mL, 0.044 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 14 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2) to yield compound **31** (8 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.11 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =5.87 (dt, J=11.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (t, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (br s, 1H), 5.12-5.08 (m, 1H), 4.34-4.23 (m, 2H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 2.80-2.74 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.2.31 (m, 1H), 2.25-1.12 (m, 20H), 1.05-0.89 (m, 3H), 0.86 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.64 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =177.6, 137.5, 130.0, 129.5, 121.0, 78.3, 74.7, 70.7, 56.2, 37.8, 37.3, 36.9, 36.6, 35.3, 32.4, 29.6, 27.2 (3C), 26.9, 26.7, 24.4, 21.0 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3620, 2954, 2923, 2864, 1728, 1478, 1451, 1395, 1386, 1367, 1280, 1160, 1099, 905;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} = -8.4$  (c= 0.37, EtOAc).

**3.1.26.** (*E*)-**3**-(**1**-Methyl-1*H*-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,10S,11S,12aR)-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyl-oxy)-1-isopropyl-10-methoxy-4-methyl-1,2,4a,5,6,7, **10**,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (**32**). Compound **31** (6 mg, 0.0153 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.8 mL) and added to mixed anhydride **16** (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 76 mg, 0.325 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (33 mg, 0.325 mmol) and DMAP (2 mg, 0.0153 mmol) were added and the solution stirred for 15 days at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:5) to yield compound **32** 

(6 mg, 66%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.22 (hexane/EtOAc 1:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.56 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.53 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.96–5.89 (m, 1H), 5.52–5.38 (m, 2H), 5.32 (br s, 1H), 5.12 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (t, J=9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 2.88–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.54–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.13–1.14 (m, 24H), 0.99–0.87 (m, 2H), 0.85 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 3H), 0.65 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 177.7, 166.6, 139.2, 138.5, 136.1, 135.7, 130.3, 129.6, 122.3, 121.1, 116.3, 79.7, 74.7, 72.9, 56.7, 37.4 (2C), 35.3, 33.5, 33.2, 30.7, 29.6, 29.3, 27.2 (3C), 26.9, 26.6, 24.3, 24.4, 21.0 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =2960, 2931, 2889, 2861, 1731, 1712, 1645, 1481, 1460, 1389, 1300, 1157, 1103;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ = -17.0 (*c*=0.33, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>NaN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 565.3617 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 565.3611.

3.1.27. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6S,7S,11-R,12aR)-7-acetoxy-11-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-4isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydrobenzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (33). Compound 29 (16 mg, 0.026 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (0.5 mL). Ac<sub>2</sub>O (5 mg, 0.052 mmol) and DMAP (cat.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. EtOAc (5 mL) was added and the organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous KHSO<sub>4</sub> solution  $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ , the aqueous layer was back extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 33 (12 mg, 71%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.69$  (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta = 7.69 - 7.61 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.46 - 7.30 \text{ (m, 4H)}$ 6H), 6.11-5.87 (m, 2H), 5.45 (t, J=10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.22-5.10 (m, 2H), 4.24-4.18 (m, 1H), 2.88-2.74 (m, 1H), 2.32-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.12–1.95 (m, 4H), 1.84–0.89 (m, 30H), 0.82 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.57 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=177.5, 170.0, 138.8, 135.7 (4C), 134.1 (2C), 132.1, 129.6, 129.5, 127.6 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 126.5, 120.3, 73.6, 72.0, 71.5, 37.7, 37.3, 36.4, 35.3, 32.9, 29.6, 27.0 (7C), 26.5, 24.3, 24.0 (2C), 21.0, 19.2, 14.5; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3078, 2959, 2938, 2860, 1739, 1732, 1482, 1460, 1430, 1371, 1155, 1112, 1070;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +0.6$  (*c*=0.51, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>58</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>Si: 681.3951 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 681.3967.

3.1.28. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6S,7S,11-R,12aR)-7-acetoxy-11-hydroxy-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6yl] ester (34). Compound 33 (11 mg, 0.0167 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.30 mL) and TBAF (0.033 mL, 0.0334 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 20 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2) to yield compound 34 (7 mg, 92%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.15$  (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =6.01 (t, J=10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (dt, J=11.4, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (t, J=10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (br s, 1H), 5.19 (dd, J=10.1, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.46-4.24 (m, 1H), 3.08-2.91 (m, 1H), 2.44-2.33 (m, 1H), 2.18-1.22

(m, 17H), 1.18 (s, 9H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.61 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=177.4$ , 171.1, 138.8, 130.9, 127.3, 120.9, 73.5, 71.3, 70.5, 37.9, 37.4, 36.6, 35.3, 32.7, 29.7, 27.1 (3C), 26.9, 26.4, 24.4, 21.0 (2C), 14.5; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu=3622$ , 2957, 2935, 2870, 1745, 1730, 1479, 1452, 1395, 1388, 1369, 1280, 1155;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}=-33.5$  (c=0.39, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>: 443.2773 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 443.2761.

3.1.29. (E)-3-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,10S,11S,12aR)-10-acetoxy-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-1-isopropyl-4-methyl-1,2,4a,5,6, 7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (35). Compound 34 (6 mg, 0.014 mmol) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1.6 mL) and added to mixed anhydride 16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 50 mg, 0.21 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (21 mg, 0.21 mmol) and DMAP (2 mg, 0.014 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 2 days at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:4) to yield compound 35 (6 mg, 80%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.20$  (hexane/EtOAc 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.60 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 6.59 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 6.04 (t, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.89 (dt, J=11.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.55-5.45 (m, 2H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J=10.1, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.13-3.03 (m, 1H), 2.54-2.48 (m, 1H), 2.22-1.17 (m, 25H), 0.85 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.63 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=177.6, 170.1, 166.7, 138.6, 138.4, 136.1, 130.9, 127.5 (2C), 122.3, 120.9, 116.3, 73.4, 72.8, 71.1, 37.5, 36.5, 35.3, 33.7, 33.5, 30.0, 29.7, 27.2 (3C), 26.8, 26.3, 24.5, 21.0 (3C), 14.5; IR  $(CCl_4)$ :  $\nu$ =2961, 2935, 1742, 1733, 1712, 1648, 1390, 1371, 1155;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -39.6$  (c=0.24, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>47</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: 555.3434 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 555.3425.

3.1.30. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid {(4R,4aR,6S,7S,11-R,12aR)-11-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-7-[(2'\*)-tetrahydro-pyran-2'-yloxy)]-3,4,4a,5,6, 7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl} ester (36). Compound 29 (29 mg, 0.047 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.4 mL). Dihydropyran (6 mg, 0.071 mmol) and PPTS (1 mg, 0.005 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 11 h at room temperature. *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with a semisaturated NaCl solution (2×5 mL) and the organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to yield compound 36 (25 mg, 77%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.33 (hexane/EtOAc 14:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers): δ=7.68-7.61 (m, 4H), 7.38-7.28 (m, 6H), 6.08+5.93 (dt, J=11.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.59+5.32 (t, J= 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18-5.01 (m, 2H), 4.88-4.61 (m, 2H), 4.23-4.10 (m, 1H), 3.94-3.72 (m, 1H), 3.51-3.33 (m, 1H), 2.76-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.35-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.13-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.91–0.95 (m, 36H), 0.80 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 3H), 0.61–0.55 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta = 177.6 + 177.4$ , 138.9+138.8, 135.7 (4C), 134.4+134.2 (2C), 132.0, 130.0+129.5 (2C), 128.4, 127.9+127.5+127.4 (4C), 120.2, 99.2+93.0, 76.5, 74.9+ 74.6, 72.1+70.5, 61.5+60.4, 37.7, 37.3, 36.5, 35.4, 32.6, 30.4+30.1, 29.6, 27.3 (3C), 27.0+26.6 (3C), 26.3, 25.4, 24.3, 23.9, 21.0 (2C), 19.2, 16.7+16.3, 14.6; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3062, 2945, 2918, 2844, 1722, 1474, 1465, 1448, 1422, 1381, 1362, 1151, 1107, 1059, 902;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =-7.2 (*c*=1.16, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>64</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>Si: 723.4421 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 723.4416.

3.1.31. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid {(4*R*,4a*R*,6*S*,7*S*,11*R*, 12aR)-11-hydroxy-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-[(2'\*)-7-(tetrahydro-pyran-2'-yloxy)]-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl} ester (37). Compound 36 (23 mg, 0.033 mmol) was dissolved in THF (2.0 mL) and TBAF (165 µL, 0.165 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 20 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and, after filtration, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 4:1) to yield compound 37 (15 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.10 (hexanes/EtOAc 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta = 5.97 + 5.78$  (dt, J=9.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.60+5.35 (t, J=10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.29-5.01 (m, 2H), 4.93-4.65 (m, 2H), 4.39-4.15 (m, 1H), 4.02-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.33 (m, 1H), 2.93-2.71 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.22 (m, 1H), 2.17-1.09 (m, 29H), 0.82 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.62+0.59 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta$ =177.5, 133.8, 129.2, 126.6, 120.9+120.8, 99.3+93.1, 76.5, 74.9+ 74.7, 70.7+70.4, 61.5+60.6, 37.9+37.8, 37.4, 36.6, 35.5, 32.4, 30.4+30.2, 27.4, 27.3 (3C), 27.2, 26.9, 26.7+26.3, 25.5+25.4, 24.5, 21.1 (2C), 18.7+18.4; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3620, 3440, 2950, 2865, 1723, 1477, 1451, 1392, 1385, 1365, 1279, 1155, 1110, 1072, 1050, 905;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -36.1$  (c=0.75, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>: 485.3243 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 485.3238.

3.1.32. 3-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid {(1R,4aR,6R,10S,11S,12aR)-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-1-isopropyl-4-methyl-10-[(2'\*)-(tetrahydro-pyran-2-yloxy)]-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl} ester (38). Compound 37 (15 mg, 0.032 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.0 mL) and added to mixed anhydride 16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 118 mg, 0.494 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (50 mg, 0.494 mmol) and DMAP (4 mg, 0.032 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:4) to yield compound **38** (12 mg, 61%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.15 (hexane/EtOAc 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta = 7.62$  (s, 1H), 7.57+7.53 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.56 (d, J= 15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.94+5.75 (dt, J=9.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (t, J=10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.45–5.37 (m, 2H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 5.23– 5.08 (m, 1H), 4.92+4.78 (t, J=10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.90-4.85+ 4.68-4.65 (m, 1H), 3.99-3.92+3.84-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.57–3.47+3.45–3.38 (m, 1H), 2.97–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.41 (m, 1H), 2.17-1.38 (m, 15H), 1.33-1.18 (m, 12H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.67–0.61 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers): δ=177.2, 166.5, 137.9, 135.2, 130.9+130.8, 129.4, 126.7, 122.1, 120.8, 117.0, 99.3+93.3, 74.7+74.5,

73.1, 70.2, 61.5+60.8, 37.4, 36.6, 35.4, 33.7, 30.3, 29.6, 27.3 (3C), 27.0, 26.8, 26.5, 26.2, 25.4, 24.5, 24.3, 21.0 (2C), 19.0+18.7, 14.6; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =2959, 2877, 1725, 1709, 1645, 1481, 1452, 1385, 1398, 1156, 1113, 909;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -44.4 (*c*=0.59, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>53</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: 597.3904 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 597.3900.

3.1.33. 3-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,10S,11S,12aR)-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyl oxy)-10-hydroxy-1-isopropyl-4-methyl-1,2,4a,5,6,7, 10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (39). Compound 38 (13 mg, 0.022 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (2.0 mL, 80%). PTSA (0.8 mg, 0.0044 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 72 h at room temperature. EtOAc (5 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution (2×5 mL), with a saturated aqueous NaCl solution (5 mL) and then the organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc) to yield compound 39 (7 mg, 82%) as a colorless oil. *R*<sub>f</sub>=0.16 (EtOAc); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=7.67 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d, J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 6.58 (d, J=5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (dt, J=11.5, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (t, J=10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.43-5.37 (m, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.11-5.00 (m, 1H), 4.84 (t, J=9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.87-2.78 (m, 1H), 2.51-1.71 (m, 11H), 1.62-1.36 (m, 3H), 1.35-1.15 (m, 10H), 0.84 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.64 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =178.5, 166.5, 138.9, 137.7, 135.1, 131.4, 128.2, 122.4, 121.1, 117.2, 77.7, 73.1, 70.4, 69.5, 37.4, 33.9, 33.1, 30.1, 29.7, 27.3 (3C), 27.2, 26.9, 26.8, 24.4, 24.3, 21.0 (2C), 19.1; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3620, 3240, 2957, 2922, 2865, 1709, 1643, 1478, 1455, 1385, 1367, 1322, 1297, 1155, 1109, 1043, 908;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -45.0$  (c=0.28, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 513.3329 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 513.3321.

3.1.34. (2R,3R)-1-{(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(*tert*-Butyldiphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methylcyclohex-3-enyl}-3-methoxymethoxy-pent-4-en-2-ol (26). Methoxymethyl allyl ether (136 mg, 1.33 mmol) in THF (2.7 mL) was cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C and sec-BuLi (854  $\mu$ L, 1.11 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane) was added. The reaction solution was stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 30 min and  ${}^{d}$ Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe (1.11 mL, 1.11 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was then added. Stirring was maintained for 1 h, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (213 mg, 1.50 mmol) was then added, followed by aldehyde 10 (279 mg, 0.55 mmol) in THF (3.9 mL). The mixture was stirred at -78°C for 14 h and then slowly warmed to room temperature. An aqueous NaOH solution (1.8 mL, 6.0 M) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1.8 mL, 35%) were then added and the mixture was left to warm up to room temperature for 5 h. H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×30 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (from hexane/EtOAc 7.5:1 to hexane/EtOAc 6:1) to give compound 26 (251 mg, 77%) as a colorless oil (26/25=98.7:1.3). The characterization of compounds 25 and 26 is reported above.

3.1.35. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid  $\{(1R,2R)-1-\{(1R, 2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-pent-$ 

4-envl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3-envlmethyl}-2methoxymethoxy-but-3-enyl} ester (40). Compound 26 (160 mg, 0.271 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (1.8 mL). DMAP (4 mg, 0.03 mmol) and PivCl (161 mg, 1.33 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. EtOAc (9 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous KHSO<sub>4</sub> solution (2×10 mL), the combined aqueous layers were back extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 40 (172 mg, 94%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.71 (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 7.67 - 7.59$  (m, 4H), 7.42-7.28 (m, 6H), 6.03-5.91 (m, 1H), 5.87-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.31-4.95 (m, 6H), 4.63 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.04-3.89 (m, 2H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.23-2.15 (m, 3H), 1.96-1.91 (m, 2H), 1.87-0.80 (m, 28H), 0.75 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.62 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =173.7, 137.4, 135.9 (4C), 135.1, 134.9, 134.6, 134.3, 129.4, 129.3, 127.4 (2C), 127.3 (2C), 121.8, 119.2, 117.2, 94.3, 78.5, 71.8, 71.5, 55.7, 40.3, 37.2, 37.0, 35.8, 35.6, 29.7, 28.8, 27.3 (3C), 27.1 (4C), 24.3, 23.8, 21.0 (2C), 19.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=2935, 2905, 2867, 2830, 1728, 1431, 1372, 1159, 1107, 910;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +52.7$ (c=0.77, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>64</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>Si: 711.4421 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 711.4406.

3.1.36. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid  $\{(1R,2R)-1 \{(1R,2R,6R)-2-[(2R)-2-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanoxy)$ pent-4-enyl]-6-isopropyl-3-methyl-cyclohex-3-enylmethyl}-2-hydroxy-but-3-enyl} ester (41). Compound 40 (100 mg, 0.015 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL) and cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C. Me<sub>2</sub>S (42 mg, 0.068 mmol) and  $BF_3$ ·Et<sub>2</sub>O (11 mg, 0.079 mmol) were added and the solution was allowed to warm to  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 0.5 h. Then, a saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (1 mL) was added and the solution was warmed up to room temperature. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 41 (7 mg, 78%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.40$  (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.74–7.63 (m, 4H), 7.48-7.28 (m, 6H), 6.05-5.68 (m, 2H), 5.36-4.88 (m, 6H), 4.08-3.89 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.18 (m, 2H), 2.09-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.84-0.82 (m, 31H), 0.78 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.66 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=178.3, 137.3, 137.1, 135.9 (4C), 135.1, 134.6 (2C), 129.5 (2C), 127.4 (4C), 121.7, 117.3, 116.3, 74.3, 73.1, 71.6, 40.5, 37.4, 36.7, 35.6, 35.3, 29.7, 28.7, 27.2 (3C), 27.1 (4C), 24.0, 23.7, 21.0 (2C), 19.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3580, 3066, 2951, 2922, 2850, 1724, 1425, 1384, 1365, 1152, 1107, 905;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} =$ +70.7 (c=0.81, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{41}H_{60}NaO_4Si: 667.4159 [M+Na]^+$ ; found: 667.4128.

3.1.37. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6R,7R,11-R,12aR)-11-(*tert*-butyl-diphenyl-silanoxy)-7-hydroxy-4isopropyl-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydrobenzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (42). Compound 41 (50 mg, 0.077 mmol) was dissolved in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6.4 mL). Second generation RCM catalyst 13 (5.5 mg, 6 µmol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.7 mL) was slowly added. The reaction mixture stirred for 12 h at room temperature. Additional RCM catalyst 13 (3 mg, 3.5 µmol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mL) was slowly added and the mixture stirred for further 12 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to provide unreacted 41 (15 mg) and compound 42 (29 mg, 60%, 86% considering the recovered starting material) as colorless oils.  $R_f=0.20$  (hexane/EtOAc 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.66 - 7.63 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.44 - 7.32$ (m, 6H), 6.10-5.91 (m, 2H), 5.75-5.70 (m, 1H), 5.55-5.49 (m, 1H), 5.14–5.05 (m, 2H), 4.40–4.36 (m, 1H), 4.30– 3.80 (m, 4H), 2.81–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.25 (m, 2H), 2.15-2.10 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.10 (m, 16H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 0.85 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.67 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=173.9, 136.3, 135.9 (2C), 135.8 (2C), 133.9 (2C), 130.3, 129.8, 129.7, 127.7, 127.6 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 119.3, 75.3, 73.1, 72.3, 37.2, 36.5, 35.1, 34.7, 30.5, 27.7, 27.2 (3C), 27.0 (3C), 26.4, 24.4, 22.7, 21.0 (2C), 20.8, 19.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3618, 3070, 2955, 2923, 2850, 1725, 1477, 1459, 1425, 1384, 1365, 1155, 1100, 1080;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +4.0$  (c=0.35, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{39}H_{56}NaO_4Si: 639.3846 [M+Na]^+$ ; found: 639.3860.

3.1.38. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6R,7R,11-R,12aR)-11-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-4-isopropyl-7-methoxy-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (43). Compound 42 (10 mg, 0.016 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mL). 2,6-Di-tert-Butyl-pyridine (31 mg, 0.16 mmol) and MeOTf (13 mg, 0.08 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 7 h at 40°C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) to give compound 43 (10 mg, 99%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.66 (hexane/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.66–7.64 (m, 4H), 7.51-7.34 (m, 6H), 6.14-5.60 (br s, 2H), 5.06 (br s, 2H), 3.97 (br s, 1H), 3.71 (m, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.77 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.07-0.95 (m, 31H), 0.83 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.65 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=183.1, 135.9, 135.8 (4C), 134.1, 134.0, 130.2, 129.7, 129.6, 128.3, 127.6 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 119.0, 115.1, 80.8, 73.2, 72.4, 56.9, 50.5, 38.5, 37.5, 37.2, 36.3, 30.1 (3C), 27.0 (3C), 26.4, 24.8, 24.3, 22.6, 21.0, 19.2; IR  $(CCl_4)$ :  $\nu$ =2962, 2935, 2901, 2867, 2293, 2000, 1729, 1558, 1429, 1368, 1261, 1160;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -23.5$  (*c*=1.00, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>58</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si: 631.4183; found: 631.4200.

3.1.39. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid [(4R,4aR,6R,7R,11-R,12aR)-11-hydroxy-4-isopropyl-7-methoxy-1-methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6yl] ester (44). Compound 43 (10 mg, 0.016 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.40 mL) and TBAF (0.034 mL, 0.034 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture stirred 14 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2) to yield compound 44 (4 mg, 67%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.10 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =5.97 (dt, *J*=16.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (m, 1H), 5.65 (br s, 1H), 5.24 (br s, 1H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 3.74 (dd, *J*=9.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.98 (m, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.19 (m, 23H), 0.85 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.68 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =177.7, 144.6, 132.2, 129.1, 119.8, 80.8, 72.3, 72.1, 57.0, 37.5, 37.2, 36.5, 34.9, 31.5, 30.2, 27.2, 27.1 (3C), 26.5, 24.4, 23.2, 21.0 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3622, 3019, 2961, 2932, 2904, 2874, 2291, 2004, 2003, 1848, 1729, 1558, 1480, 1461, 1397, 1388, 1369, 1283, 1255, 1218, 1159, 1107;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ =-62.6 (*c*=0.70, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 393.3005; found: 393.3015.

3.1.40. (E)-3-(1-Methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1R,4aR,6R,10R,11R,12aR)-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-1-isopropyl-10-methoxy-4-methyl-1,2,4a,5,6,7, 10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (45). Compound 44 (7 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl (1.0 mL) and added to mixed anhydride 16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 120 mg, 0.509 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (33 mg, 0.325 mmol) and DMAP (2 mg, 0.0164 mmol) were added and the solution stirred for 64 h at room temperature and refluxed for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (from 100% hexanes to hexanes/EtOAc 1:4) to yield compound 45 (7 mg, 79%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.23$  (hexane/EtOAc 1:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.53 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 6.53 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.99-5.41 (br s, 2H), 5.94 (dt, J=16.1, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.32–5.00 (br s, 2H), 3.76 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.04 (m, 1H), 2.46 (m, 1H), 2.17-1.19 (m, 20H), 0.89 (m, 2H), 0.84 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.69 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(50.3 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta = 176.9$ , 166.6, 138.7, 138.6, 136.0, 135.9, 132.4, 129.2, 122.3, 80.8, 74.0, 72.4, 56.9 (2C), 37.6, 36.3, 33.5 (2C), 30.2, 27.1 (3C), 26.6 (3C), 24.4, 23.1, 21.0 (2C); IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=2961, 2932, 2873, 2821, 2291, 2003, 1848, 1729, 1708, 1646, 1544, 1480, 1461, 1387, 1253, 1217, 1159;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -43.8$  (*c*=0.70, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 527.3485 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 527.3499.

3.1.41. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid {(4R,4aR,6R,7R,11-R,12aR)-11-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-7-[(2'\*)-tetrahydro-pyran-2'-yloxy)]-3,4,4a,5, 6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl} ester (46). Compound 42 (20 mg, 0.032 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.3 mL). Dihydropyran (4 mg, 0.048 mmol) and PPTS (1 mg, 0.005 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with a semisaturated NaCl solution (2×5 mL) and the organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to yield compound 46 (20 mg, 91%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.40$  (hexane/EtOAc 14:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta = 7.68 - 7.64$  (m, 4H), 7.44 - 7.34 (m, 6H), 6.20+6.02 (m, 1H), 5.86+5.70 (m, 2H), 4.80-4.60 (m, 2H), 4.07-3.92 (m, 1H), 3.90-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.50-3.35 (m, 1H), 2.90-2.75 (m, 1H), 2.35-2.20 (m, 1H), 2.20-2.10 (m, 1H),  $1.95-1.05 \text{ (m, 36H)}, 0.84 \text{ (d, } J=6.7 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 0.66-0.63 \text{ (m, 36H)}, 0.66-0.63 \text{ (m,$  3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta$ =178.0, 135.9+135.8, 131.0+134.1 (2C), 130.0, 129.7+129.6 (2C), 128.3, 127.6+127.5 (4C), 119.0, 100.7+93.4, 78.4+77.2, 73.3+72.7, 68.6, 62.1+60.8, 38.6, 37.1, 36.4+36.3, 34.8, 30.5+30.4, 27.4, 27.2, 27.1 (2C), 27.0, 26.4, 25.5+25.4, 24.8, 24.4, 22.9+22.7, 21.0+20.7, 19.2+19.1, 18.3; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3072, 3052, 2961 2859, 2290, 2003, 1848, 1742, 1728, 1558, 1480, 1462, 1428, 1390, 1371, 1261, 1219, 1159, 1105, 1011;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =-2.8 (*c*=8.75, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>64</sub> NaO<sub>5</sub>Si: 723.4421 [*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>; found: 723.4420.

3.1.42. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid {(4R,4aR,6R,7R,11R, 12aR)-11-hydroxy-4-isopropyl-1-methyl-[(2'\*)-7-(tetrahydro-pyran-2'-yloxy)]-3,4,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl} ester (47). Compound 46 (18 mg, 0.025 mmol) was dissolved in THF (1.5 mL) and TBAF (125 µL, 0.125 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 12 h at room temperature. An aqueous phosphate buffer solution (1.0 mL, pH=7) was added, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×5 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2) to yield compound 47 (11 mg, 96%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.11 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta = 6.04 - 5.91$  (m, 1H), 5.85 (dt, J=12.2, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (m, 2H), 5.24 (br s, 2H), 4.90-4.62 (m, 2H), 4.39-4.25 (m, 1H), 4.20-3.97 (m, 1H), 3.97-3.77 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.39 (m, 1H), 3.10-2.95 (m, 1H), 2.50-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.15 (br s, 1H), 2.00-1.15 (m, 27H), 0.85 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.69+0.67 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}C$ NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers): δ=139.7, 132.8, 130.8, 129.6, 128.9, 119.6, 100.4+93.3, 78.1, 73.0, 72.5+72.0 (2C), 68.1+60.7, 37.5, 37.2, 37.0 (2C), 36.5, 34.8, 30.6, 30.4+30.3, 27.3, 27.1 (3C), 26.3, 25.4, 24.8, 24.4, 23.2, 21.0 (2C), 20.7, 18.9+18.2; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $\nu$ =3622, 3449, 2961, 2931, 2873, 2290, 2003, 1848, 1728, 1558, 1480, 1460, 1441, 1397, 1388, 1369, 1322, 1261, 1218, 1159, 1099, 1014, 978;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -64.3$  (c=1.04, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{28}H_{46}O_5 + NH_4$ : 480.3689  $[M + NH_4]^+$ ; found: 480.3690.

3.1.43. 3-(1-Methyl-1*H*-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid {(1*R*, 4aR,6R,10R,11R,12aR)-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-1-isopropyl-4-methyl-10-[(2'\*)-(tetrahydro-pyran-2yloxy)]-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12,12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl} ester (48). Compound 47 (10 mg, 0.022 mmol) was dissolved in ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl (1.2 mL) and added to mixed anhydride 16 (prepared according to Ref. 6b; 190 mg, 0.807 mmol). NEt<sub>3</sub> (41 mg, 0.402 mmol) and DMAP (2.5 mg, 0.020 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 40 h at room temperature and 3 h at 50°C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:4) to yield compound 48 (10 mg, 75%) as a colorless oil.  $R_f=0.23$  (hexane/EtOAc from 1:3 to 1:2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers): δ=7.63+7.52 (d, J=15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49+7.48 (s, 1H), 7.16+7.06 (s, 1H), 6.55+6.53 (d, J=15.5 Hz, 1H), 6.09+5.75 (m, 4H), 5.24 (s, 2H), 4.85-4.65 (m, 2H), 4.404.29 (m, 1H), 4.10–3.97 (m, 1H), 3.90–3.77 (m, 1H), 3.72+3.70 (s, 3H), 3.55–3.39 (m, 2H), 3.20–3.05 (m, 1H), 2.61–2.50+2.49–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.21 (br s, 1H), 2.10–1.15 (m, 23H), 2.17–1.38 (m, 15H), 0.84+0.83 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.75–0.64 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, signal doubling due to diastereomers):  $\delta$ =178.1, 166.6, 139.7, 138.4, 135.8+135.7, 131.1, 129.9, 123.9, 122.4, 116.5, 114.6, 100.3+93.5, 78.2, 73.2, 72.7, 70.3, 61.7+ 60.8, 38.5, 37.4, 36.3, 36.1, 34.4, 33.7, 33.6 (3C), 30.5, 27.3 (3C), 27.2, 27.1, 26.6, 26.5, 26.4, 23.2, 21.0, 18.5+18.0; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): *v*=2961, 2873, 1727, 1708, 1645, 1480, 1460, 1387, 1368, 1159, 1159, 909;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ =–23.6 (*c*=0.32, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>53</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: 597.3904 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 597.3909.

3.1.44. 3-(1-Methyl-1*H*-imidazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid [(1*R*, 4aR,6R,10R,11R,12aR)-11-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-10-hydroxy-1-isopropyl-4-methyl-1,2,4a,5,6,7,10,11,12, 12a-decahydro-benzocyclodecen-6-yl] ester (49). Compound 48 (13 mg, 0.022 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (1.5 mL, 80%). PTSA (1 mg, 0.0049 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 4 days at room temperature. EtOAc (5 mL) was added, the organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution  $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ , with a saturated aqueous NaCl solution (5 mL) and then the organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:5) to yield compound 49 (5 mg, 40%) as a colorless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.23 (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.91 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.68 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.09-5.61 (m, 3H), 5.37-5.13 (m, 2H),4.93-4.66 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.60-3.37 (m, 1H), 4.23-3.02 (m, 1H), 2.67 - 1.07 (m, 23H), 0.84 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 3H),0.67 (d, J=5.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ=178.7, 166.3, 140.5, 136.4, 135.1, 131.8, 121.3, 119.2, 78.0, 73.1, 70.2, 69.0, 37.1, 36.2, 33.4, 30.4, 27.3 (2C), 27.1, 26.4, 24.4, 23.2, 22.9, 22.8; IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v=3405, 3135, 3022, 2960, 2991, 1708, 1646, 1542, 1480, 1442, 1387, 1369, 1298, 1262, 1115, 1024, 909;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -35.5$  (*c*=0.23, EtOAc); HRMS (ESI): m/z: calcd for  $C_{30}H_{45}N_2O_5$ : 513.3328 [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 513.3337.

# **3.2. Tubulin polymerization assay**

The samples were prepared directly in 1.5 mL optical glass cuvettes at 0°C which contained aqueous 'Mes buffer' [0.900 mL (0.1 M MES, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH=6.6)], GTP (10 µL, 100 mM in doubly distilled water) and tubulin (100  $\mu$ L, 8–10 mg/mL of aqueous 'Mes buffer'). The cuvettes were thoroughly agitated and immediately placed in a spectrophotometer, preheated at 37°C, alongside a blank sample containing aqueous 'Mes buffer' (0.990 mL) and GTP (10  $\mu$ L, 100 mM in doubly distilled water) and the absorbance at  $\lambda$ =350 nm was recorded. When the absorbance reached a plateau (after 15 min), CaCl<sub>2</sub> (10 µL, 400 mM in doubly distilled water) was added to each cuvette. After another 15 min, a minimum absorbance was reached and the tubulin-polymerizing-agent in DMSO (2.5 mM) was added to the cuvettes in portions (2, 2, 4, 12, 20 and 40 µL) every 15 min and thoroughly shaken to give final concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10 and 20 µM, respectively (final concentrations of the tubulin-polymerizing-agent in the assay). The results were compared to the untreated control (using the same quantities of DMSO without the tubulin-polymerizing-agent) to assess the relative change in absorbance due to microtubule assembly. The results are presented as  $ED_{50}$  and  $ED_{90}$  which correspond to the dose of tubulin-polymerizing-agent required to induce 50 and 90% micro-tubule assembly (MES, 2-(morpholino)ethane sulphonic acid; EGTA, ethyleneglycol-bis-( $\beta$ -aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid; GTP, guanosine 5'-triphosphate).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the European Commission for financial support (IHP Network grant 'Design and synthesis of microtubulestabilizing anticancer agents' HPRN-CT-2000-00018) and for postdoctoral fellowships to R. Beumer (HPRN-CT-2000-00018), S. Ducki (HPRN-CT-2000-00018), P. Bayón ('Marie Curie' HPMF-CT-2000-00838) and J. Telser ('Marie Curie' HPMF-CT-1999-00001). We also like to thank Merck (Merck's Academic Development Program Award to C. Gennari, 2001-02) and M.U.R.S.T. COFIN 2000 (MM03155477) for financial support. We thank Dr Paolo Cappella and Dr Aurelio Marsiglio (Pharmacia, Nerviano, Italy) for the cytotoxicity assays on the human tumor cell lines.

#### References

- (a) D'Ambrosio, M.; Guerriero, A.; Pietra, F. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1987, 70, 2019. (b) D'Ambrosio, M.; Guerriero, A.; Pietra, F. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1988, 71, 965.
- (a) Ciomei, M.; Albanese, C.; Pastori, W.; Grandi, M.; Pietra, F.; D'Ambrosio, M.; Guerriero, A.; Battistini, C. *Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* **1997**, *38*, 5 (Abstract 30). (b) Battistini, C.; Ciomei, M.; Pietra, F.; D'Ambrosio, M.; Guerriero, A. (Pharmacia S.p.A.), PCT Int. Appl. WO 9636,335, 1996; *Chem. Abstr.* **1997**, *126*, P54863x.
- Fenical, W.; Jensen, P. R.; Lindel, T. (University of California), US-A 5,473,057, 1995; *Chem. Abstr.* 1996, 124, P194297z.
- (a) Lindel, T.; Jensen, P. R.; Fenical, W.; Long, B. H.; Casazza, A. M.; Carboni, J.; Fairchild, C. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8744. (b) Long, B. H.; Carboni, J. M.; Wasserman, A. J.; Cornell, L. A.; Casazza, A. M.; Jensen, P. R.; Lindel, T.; Fenical, W.; Fairchild, C. R. *Cancer Res.* **1998**, *58*, 1111.
- For a comprehensive review on the chemistry and biology of the sarcodictyins, see: (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Xu, J.; Winssinger, N.; Ohshima, T.; Kim, S.; Hosokawa, S.; Vourloumis, D.; van Delft, F.; Li, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1999, 47, 1199. See also: (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Winssinger, N.; Vorloumis, D.; Ohshima, T.; Kim, S.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Xu, J. Y.; Li, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, *120*, 10814. (c) Britton, R.; de Silva, E. D.; Bigg, C. M.; McHardy, L. M.; Roberge, M.; Andersen, R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 8632, and references therein.
- (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Xu, J.-Y.; Kim, S.; Ohshima, T.; Hosokawa, S.; Pfefferkorn, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11353. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Xu, J. Y.; Kim, S.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Ohshima, T.; Vourloumis, D.; Hosokawa, S. J. Am. Chem.

*Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 8661. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Kim, S.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Xu, J.; Ohshima, T.; Hosokawa, S.; Vourloumis, D.; Li, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1418.

- (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; van Delft, F.; Ohshima, T.; Vourloumis, D.; Xu, J.; Hosokawa, S.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Kim, S.; Li, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2520. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Ohshima, T.; Hosokawa, S.; van Delft, F. L.; Vourloumis, D.; Xu, J. Y.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Kim, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8674.
- (a) Chen, X.-T.; Gutteridge, C. E.; Bhattacharya, S. K.; Zhou, B.; Pettus, T. R. R.; Hascall, T.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 185. (b) Chen, X.-T.; Zhou, B.; Bhattacharya, S. K.; Gutteridge, C. E.; Pettus, T. R. R.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 789. (c) Chen, X.-T.; Bhattacharya, S. K.; Zhou, B.; Gutteridge, C. E.; Pettus, T. R. R.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6563.
- 9. (a) Ceccarelli, S.; Piarulli, U.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 153. (b) Baron, A.; Caprio, V.; Mann, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 9321. (c) Carter, R.; Hodgetts, K.; McKenna, J.; Magnus, P.; Wren, S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4367. (d) Ceccarelli, S.; Piarulli, U.; Gennari, C. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6254. (e) Xu, Q.; Weeresakare, M.; Rainier, J. D. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 8029. (f) Ceccarelli, S.; Piarulli, U.; Telser, J.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 7421. (g) Ceccarelli, S.; Piarulli, U.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 8531. (h) Sandoval, C.; Redero, E.; Mateos-Timoneda, M. A.; Bermejo, F. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6521. (i) Kaliappan, K. P.; Kumar, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 379. (j) Winkler, J. D.; Quinn, K. J.; MacKinnon, C. H.; Hiscock, S. D.; McLaughlin, E. C. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1805. (k) Scalabrino, G.; Sun, X.-W.; Mann, J.; Baron, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003. 1. 318.
- For preliminary communications describing portions of the investigations reported here, see: (a) Telser, J.; Beumer, R.; Bell, A. A.; Ceccarelli, S. M.; Monti, D.; Gennari, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 9187. (b) Beumer, R.; Bayón, P.; Bugada, P.; Ducki, S.; Mongelli, N.; Riccardi Sirtori, F.; Telser, J.; Gennari, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 681.
- (a) Brown, H. C.; Desai, M. C.; Jadhav, P. K. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 5065. (b) Brown, H. C.; Jadhav, P. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2092. (c) Jadhav, P. K.; Bhat, K. S.; Perumal, P. T.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 432. (d) Brown, H. C.; Jadhav, P. K.; Bhat, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1535. (e) Brown, H. C.; Racherla, U. S.; Liao, Y.; Khanna, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6608.
- Reviews: (a) Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 4413. (b) Armstrong, S. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 371. (c) Maier, M. E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2073. (d) Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3012.
- (a) Huang, J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P.; Petersen, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2674. (b) Scholl, M.; Trnka, T. M.; Morgan, J. P.; Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2247.
   (c) Huang, J.; Schanz, H.-J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5375. (d) Scholl, M.; Ding, S.; Lee, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 953. (e) Trnka, T. M.; Grubbs, R. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 18.
- Application of the RCM reaction to 10-membered carbocycles is still very rare, see: (a) Nevalainen, M.; Koskinen, A. M. P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4060; *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 1554. (b) Ref. 10a,b.
- 15. The use of 'second generation', metathesis catalysts results in the selective formation of the thermodynamically favored

stereoisomeric products in RCM reactions furnishing mediumsized rings, see: (a) Fürstner, A.; Radkowski, K.; Wirtz, C.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W.; Mynott, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 7061. (b) Murga, J.; Falomir, E.; Garcìa-Fortanet, J.; Carda, M.; Marco, J. A. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3447. (c) Prunet, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 2826.

- For the application of the RCM reaction to non-carbocyclic 10-membered rings, see: (a) Fürstner, A.; Müller, T. Synlett 1997, 1010. (b) Chang, S.; Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4757. (c) Gerlach, K.; Quitschalle, M.; Kalesse, M. Synlett 1998, 1108. (d) Barrett, A. G. M.; Baugh, S. P. D.; Braddock, C.; Flack, K.; Gibson, V. C.; Giles, M. R.; Marshall, E. L.; Procopiou, P. A.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7893. (e) Oishi, T.; Nagumo, Y.; Hirama, M. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1041. (f) Quitschalle, M.; Kalesse, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7765.
- 17. Buschmann, N.; Rückert, A.; Blechert, S. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4325.
- 18. Hoye, T. R.; Zhao, H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1123.
- For discussions on the role of allylic oxygen substituents in the RCM reaction, see: (a) White, J. D.; Hrnciar, P. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9129. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Efremov, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4492. (c) Maishal, T. K.; Sinha-Mahapatra, D. K.; Paranjape, K.; Sarkar, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2263.
- (a) Fuji, K.; Kawabata, T.; Fujita, E. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1980**, 28, 3662.
  (b) Sasaki, M.; Noguchi, T.; Tachibana, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 67, 3301.
- For the effect of the stereochemistry of the homoallylic and allylic substituents on the RCM performance in the formation of seven- and eight-membered rings, see: Krafft, M. E.; Cheung, Y. Y.; Kerrigan, S. A.; Abboud, K. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 839.